


# Final Analysis of ELAIS 15 $\mu\text{m}$ Fields

## Data Reduction with the LARI Method



**Mattia Vaccari**

Dipartimento di Astronomia and CISAS “G. Colombo”, Università di Padova

vaccari@pd.astro.it

<http://mimir.pd.astro.it/~mattia>

**Luca Angeretti**

IRA, CNR, Bologna

**Paolo Ciliegi**

Oss. Astronomico, Bologna

**Alberto Franceschini**

Dip. di Astronomia, Padova

**Carlotta Gruppioni**

Oss. Astronomico, Padova

**Carlo Lari**

IRA-CNR, Bologna

**Francesca Pozzi**

IRA, CNR, Bologna

**Oliver Prouton**

Dip. di Astronomia, Padova

**Gianni Zamorani**

Oss. Astronomico, Bologna

<http://mostro.pd.astro.it/~poe>

*Exploiting the ISO Data Archive: Infrared Astronomy in the Internet Age*

*Sigüenza, 24-27 June 2002*

# The ELAIS Survey

- Largest ISO Open Time single project ( $375$  h)
- Up to  $12 \text{ deg}^2$  mapped in four ISO-CAM/PHOT bands ( $7 - 175 \mu\text{m}$ )
- IRAS  $I_{100 \mu\text{m}} < 1.5 \text{ mJy/sr}$ , no IRAS  $S_{12 \mu\text{m}} > 0.6 \text{ Jy}$  sources ,  $|\beta| > 40^\circ$


	<i>ISO-CAM</i>		<i>ISO-PHOT</i>		Field	Wavelength/ $\mu\text{m}$			
Detector	LW	LW	C100	C200		6.7	15	90	175
Filter	LW2	LW3	C90	C160					
$\lambda/\mu\text{m}$	6.75	15	95.1	174	N1	2.67	2.56	2*	
$\Delta\lambda/\mu\text{m}$	3.5	6	51.4	89.4	N2	2.67	2.67	2.67	1
<i>Gain</i>	2	2	n/a	n/a	N3	1.32	0.88	1.76	
<i>TINT/s</i>	2	2	20	32	S1	1.76	3.96	3.96	
			12		S2	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.11
<i>NEXP</i>	10	10	n/a	n/a	X1	0.16	0.19		
<i>NSTAB</i>	80	80	n/a	n/a	X2	0.16	0.19		
<i>PFOV/''</i>	6	6	43.5	84.5	X3	0.16	0.19		
<i>NPIX</i>	32	32	3	2		5.87	10.78	11.63	3.11
<i>M, N</i>	28, 14	28, 14	10, 20	13, 13	X4	0.09	0.11		
			20, 20		X5	0.09			
<i>dM, dN/''</i>	90, 180	90, 180	130, 130	96, 96	X6	0.09	0.11		
			75, 130						

# ELAIS within ISO Extragalactic Surveys

Name	$\lambda$ ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Integration (s)	Area (deg $^2$ )
PHT Serendipity Survey	175	0.5	7000
CAM Parallel Mode	7	150	33
<b>ELAIS</b>	<b>7, 15, 90, 175</b>	<b>40, 40, 24, 128</b>	<b>6, 11, 12, 1</b>
<b>CAM Shallow</b>	15	180	1.3
FIRBACK	175	256, 128	1, 3
IR Back	90, 135, 175	23, 27, 27	1, 1, 1
SA 57	60, 90	150, 50	0.42, 0.42
CAM Deep	7, 15, 90	800, 990, 144	0.28, 0.28, 0.28
Comet fields	12	302	0.11
CFRS	7, 15, 60, 90	720, 1000, 3000, 3000	0.067, 0.067, 0.067, 0.067
CAM Ultra-Deep	7	3520	0.013
<b>ISOHDF South</b>	<b>7, 15</b>	<b>&gt; 6400, &gt; 6400</b>	<b><math>4.7 \cdot 10^{-3}, 4.7 \cdot 10^{-3}</math></b>
Deep SSA13	7	34000	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$
<b>Deep Lockman</b>	<b>7, 90, 175</b>	<b>44640, 48, 128</b>	<b><math>2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}, 1.2, 1</math></b>
<b>ISOHDF North</b>	<b>7, 15</b>	<b>12800, 6400</b>	<b><math>1.4 \cdot 10^{-3}, 4.2 \cdot 10^{-3}</math></b>





- Different choices are **complementary** in exploring the **Depth-Area** plane
- ELAIS furtherly bridges the **gap** between IRAS and ISO deep surveys

## ELAIS Science Rationale



- SFH in the Universe
- Starbursts and AGNs
- ULIRGs
- CIRB Resolution
- Dust in normal galaxies
- Serendipity...

# ELAIS CAM 15 $\mu$ m Dataset



# The Data Analyses

Available automated methods useless

Aaargh!  $\Downarrow$  Aaargh!

Unsatisfactory Preliminary Analysis based on “eye-ball”

...mumbling...  $\Downarrow$  ...mumbling...

...mumbling...  $\Downarrow$  ...mumbling...


...mumbling...  $\Downarrow$  ...mumbling...

The LARI Method and Final Analysis I (Lari et al. 2001)

...mumbling...  $\Downarrow$  ...mumbling...


Final Analysis II (see poster by Lari et al. and talks by Fadda & Rodighiero)

## Raster Maps





- $40' \times 40'$  maps
- $\simeq 90$  sources each

# Astrometric Accuracy




- $\approx 50$  ELAIS-USNO identifications per raster
- $\sigma_{RA}$  and  $\sigma_{DEC} \simeq 1''$

## Optical Identifications





95 % of 15  $\mu\text{m}$  sources have unambiguous optical counterparts  
(Gonzalez-Solares 2002, IAC, PhD Thesis)

## Mosaiced Maps



## Individual Sources



**UGC 10459**



$\Leftarrow$  **ELAISC15\_J163525+405542**

## Final Analysis II Results


Final IA checks and simulations still to be performed

BUT

- 5- $\sigma$  detections down to  $\simeq 0.4$  mJy
- Completeness:  $> 99\%$  at 3 mJy and  $> 90\%$  at 2 mJy
- $\simeq 150$  sources/deg $^2$
- Improved astrometric and photometric accuracy
- Mosaiced Maps

	S1	N1	N2	Total
PA	189	129	141	459
FA-I	$\simeq 450$	$\simeq 350$	$\simeq 400$	$\simeq 1200$
FA-II	$\simeq 700$	$\simeq 550$	$\simeq 550$	$\simeq 1800$


# FA-I Extragalactic 15 $\mu\text{m}$ Source Counts



Slope change at  $S_{15\mu\text{m}} \simeq 2 \text{ mJy} \Rightarrow$  Strong Evolution!


(Gruppioni et al. 2002, MNRAS, accepted, astro-ph/0205173)

## Further Optical Follow Up: ESIS



- ESO-SIRTF Imaging Survey
- ESO Large Program  
(P.I. Alberto Franceschini)
- 6.25 deg<sup>2</sup> centered on S1
- $BVR$  (WFI) +  $IZ$  (VIMOS)
- Optical identifications, colors, photometric redshifts and rough morphologies of 200,000 SWIRE sources

# Is there clustering out there?



## Future Work

- Finalization of 15  $\mu\text{m}$  catalogues (!Flux Calibration!)
- Extragalactic source counts
- Multi-wavelength identifications (PHOT data at 90  $\mu\text{m}$ !)
- Optical follow-up
- Clustering?
- ...

## Lessons to be learnt

- Good understanding of the instrumentation is VERY important
- Software development must be timely
- Even so, new instrumentation leads to new software needs

... but most importantly ...

## Try and be realistic about timing!

A “Final Analysis” process has been developed...  
... we do not expect the “Final Analysis” to be finished until  
**early 2000,**

hence the release of our “Preliminary” products.

**(Oliver et al. 2001)**